arXiv:1110.2623v3 [math.DG] 25 Jan 2012

G_2-MANIFOLDS FROM $K3$ SURFACES WITH NON-SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS

MAX PUMPERLA AND FRANK REIDEGELD

ABSTRACT. We show that $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order can be used to construct new compact irreducible G_2-manifolds. This technique was carried out in detail by Kovalev and Lee for non-symplectic involutions. We use Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology to determine the Hodge diamonds of certain complex threefolds, which are the building blocks for this approach.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Kovalev's connected sum construction 3
3. Admissible pairs of Fano-type 7
4. Non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order on $K3$ surfaces 9
5. Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology 13
6. Threefolds of non-symplectic type 14
7. G_2-manifolds from matching admissible pairs 23
References 31

1. INTRODUCTION

The first examples of compact irreducible G_2-manifolds were constructed by Joyce [9, 10, 11]. Soon after this Kovalev [12] developed a different method to construct such manifolds

---

Date: November 14, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C25 Secondary: 53C29, 14J28.

The first author was supported by the *Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes*. The second author was partially supported by the Collaborative Research Centre 676 and the Individual Grant RE 3147/1-1 of the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* while working on this article.

using generalized connected sums. Given two Kähler threefolds $\overline{W}_1$ and $\overline{W}_2$ that satisfy a certain matching condition, see Definition 2.6, one can construct a G_2-manifold M from this pair. To apply this method one only has to deal with the respective threefolds $\overline{W}_i$. The existence of a metric with holonomy G_2 on M then follows from the results of [12]. The examples of [12] are built from pairs of Fano threefolds, whereas Kovalev and Lee [13] construct threefolds from $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involutions. The basic idea of [13] is to divide the product of a $K3$ surface S and $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\rho \times \psi$ where ρ is a non-symplectic involution and $\psi(z) := -z$ in local coordinates. By blowing up the singularities of the quotient $(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)/(\rho \times \psi)$, the authors obtain a threefold that is suitable for the connected sum construction.

In this paper we show that $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order p can be used to construct another class of threefolds. Automorphisms of that kind with $p = 3$ were classified by Artebani and Sarti [1] and independently by Taki [19]. The classification for higher p was carried out by Artebani, Sarti and Taki [2]. Our idea is to replace ρ by an automorphism of order p and ψ with the map $\psi(z) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right) \cdot z$. Since the fixed locus of ρ may contain isolated points if $p \geq 3$, we need a different kind of resolution of the quotient singularities. The results of Roan [17, 18] guarantee that a crepant resolution of the singularities exists. This construction yields Kähler threefolds that satisfy all conditions from Kovalev's [12] theorem. Their Betti numbers can be calculated with help of the Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology [4].

In the situation of [13] the existence of a matching often follows from the deformation theory of the $\overline{W}_i$. If $p \geq 3$, the threefolds become more rigid and we cannot conclude by those methods that a matching exists. Nevertheless, we are able to find a matching by hand if $\overline{W}_1$ is constructed from a certain K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism of order 3 and $\overline{W}_2$ is built from one of two special Fano threefolds. The Betti numbers (b^2, b^3) of the resulting G_2-manifolds are $(42, 91)$ and $(42, 113)$. Since all known G_2-manifolds have $b^2 \leq 28$, our examples are not diffeomorphic to the known ones.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we summarize Kovalev's connected sum method as presented in [12]. The threefolds that Kovalev [12] obtained from Fano varieties are described in the next section. In Section 4 and 5 we give a quick review on the classification of $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order and on the Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology of global quotients. The construction of the threefolds and the computation of their Hodge numbers are carried out in Section 6. In the last section we describe how to check the matching condition. After reviewing the results of [13] we give an example where no matching that satisfies a natural assumption exists and finally we describe our G_2-manifolds.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Alexei Kovalev and Johannes Nordström for helpful discussions.

2. Kovalev's connected sum construction

G_2-structures and manifolds. We shortly define what a G_2-manifold is and refer the reader to Joyce [11] and references therein for further details. Let $x^1, \ldots, x^7$ be the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^7$. We abbreviate $dx^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ by $dx^{i_1 \cdots i_k}$ and define the following real three-form φ_0 on $\mathbb{R}^7$:

$$\varphi_0 := dx^{123} + dx^{145} + dx^{167} + dx^{246} - dx^{257} - dx^{347} - dx^{356} \,. \tag{2.1}$$

The stabilizer group of φ_0 is the exceptional Lie group G_2.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a real seven-dimensional manifold and let φ be a three-form on M. φ is called a *G_2-structure* if for any $p \in M$ there exists an open neighborhood U of p and linearly independent vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_7$ such that for all $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}$

$$\varphi(X_i, X_j, X_k) = \varphi_0\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right) . \tag{2.2}$$

Any G_2-structure induces a canonical Riemannian metric g_φ and an orientation such that

$$g_\varphi(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij} \tag{2.3}$$

and $(X_1, \ldots, X_7)$ is positively oriented. The Hodge dual of φ corresponds to the four-form

$$* \varphi_0 = dx^{1247} + dx^{1256} + dx^{1346} - dx^{1357} + dx^{2345} + dx^{2367} + dx^{4567} \,. \tag{2.4}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^7$. We call a G_2-structure φ *parallel* if $\nabla^{g_\varphi} \varphi = 0$. A pair of a seven-dimensional manifold and a parallel G_2-structure is called a *G_2-manifold*. There are other equivalent characterizations of a G_2-manifold.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a seven-dimensional manifold with a G_2-structure φ. Then the following statements on φ are equivalent.*

1. *φ is parallel.*
2. *$d\varphi = d * \varphi = 0$.*
3. *The holonomy group of g_φ is contained in G_2.*

Conversely, if (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold with holonomy a subgroup of G_2, then there exists a parallel G_2-structure on M such that its induced metric is g. In this situation, g is Ricci-flat.

Proof. See [3, 7]. □

We are mostly interested in the compact case. If M is a compact G_2-manifold, its holonomy is all of G_2 if and only if $|\pi_1(M)| < \infty$ (See [11]).

Connected sums. One method to construct compact manifolds with holonomy G_2 is by Kovalev's [12] connected sum method. The idea is to glue together two asymptotically cylindrical G_2-manifolds M_1 and M_2. Since we want to use methods from complex geometry, we assume that $M_i = W_i \times S^1$ where the W_i are asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds. In order to ensure that $|\pi_1(M)| < \infty$, we have to choose the glueing map in a non-obvious way. The following theorem yields suitable complex threefolds for the connected sum method:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(\overline{W}, \omega_{\overline{W}})$ be a compact simply connected Kähler threefold such that there is a $K3$ surface $D \in |-K_{\overline{W}}|$ in its anti-canonical class having holomorphically trivial normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/\overline{W}}$. Moreover, assume that $W := \overline{W} \setminus D$ has finite fundamental group, then W admits a complete Ricci-flat Kähler metric with $SU(3)$-holonomy and a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(3,0)$-form. The data on W can be chosen such that W is exponentially asymptotic to $D \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with a cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metric. More precisely, let t be the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, θ be the standard coordinate of the circle S^1, κ_I be the Kähler form and $\kappa_J + i\kappa_K$ be the holomorphic $(2,0)$-form on D. Then, the Kähler form on $D \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is $\kappa_I + dt \wedge d\theta$, the non-vanishing $(3,0)$-form is explicitly given by $(\kappa_J + i\kappa_K) \wedge (dt + id\theta)$, and the coordinate $z := exp(-t - i\theta)$ extends to a coordinate on $\overline{W}$ with vanishing order one on D. Finally, κ_I is in the same class as $\omega_{\overline{W}}|_D$, i.e.*

$$[\kappa_I] = [\omega_{\overline{W}}|_D] \in H^2(D, \mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. See [12, Theorem 2.4] and [13, Theorem 2.1]. □

Definition 2.4. We call any pair $(\overline{W}, D)$ as in Theorem 2.3 an *admissible pair.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $(\overline{W}, \omega_{\overline{W}})$ *be as in Theorem 2.3. Then* $\overline{W}$ *admits no nonzero holomorphic forms,* $h^{1,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{3,0}(\overline{W}) = 0$ *and therefore* $\overline{W}$ *is projective algebraic.*

Proof. See [13, Proposition 2.2]. □

As Ricci-flat Kähler structures on a $K3$-surface D corresponds to hyper-Kähler structures (I, J, K) with $K = IJ = -JI$ and Kähler forms κ_I, κ_J and κ_K, we can make the following definition.

Definition 2.6. (The matching condition) A pair of $K3$ surfaces $(D_j, \kappa_{I,j}, \kappa_{J,j} + i\kappa_{K,j})$, $j = 1, 2$, is said to satisfy the *matching condition* if there is an isometry

$$h : H^2(D_2, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(D_1, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that the $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension of h acts on the respective Kähler forms as follows:

$$[\kappa_{I,2}] \mapsto [\kappa_{J,1}], \quad [\kappa_{J,2}] \mapsto [\kappa_{I,1}], \quad [\kappa_{K,2}] \mapsto [-\kappa_{K,1}].$$

Moreover, if $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ and $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ are admissible pairs such that D_1 and D_2 satisfy the matching condition, we will call them *matching admissible pairs.*

Let $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ and $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ be matching admissible pairs with a map h as above. Then by [12, Proposition 4.20] there is an isometry $f : D_1 \to D_2$, a so called hyper-Kähler rotation, such that $h = f^*$ and

$$\kappa_{I,2} \mapsto \kappa_{J,1}, \quad \kappa_{J,2} \mapsto \kappa_{I,1}, \quad \kappa_{K,2} \mapsto -\kappa_{K,1}.$$

Denote the asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler structure on W_j by (ω_j, Ω_j) and by θ_j the standard coordinate on S^1. Then for $j = 1, 2$ the induced asymptotically cylindrical G_2-structure on $W_j \times S^1$ is given by $\varphi_j = \omega_j \wedge d\theta_j + \mathrm{Im}\Omega_j$ and satisfies $d\varphi_j = d * \varphi_j = 0$. For a choice of T we can truncate $W_j \times S^1$ at $t := T + 1$ to get compact manifolds with boundary $B_j := D_j \times S^1 \times S^1$. Using f and exchanging S^1-factors one defines

$$\begin{aligned} F : D_1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T+1] &\to D_2 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T+1] \\ (x, \theta_1, \theta_2, T + t) &\mapsto (f(x), \theta_2, \theta_1, T - t + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This map identifies collar neighbourhoods $D_j \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T+1]$ of B_j and gives rise to a *generalized connected sum*

$$M = (W_1 \times S^1) \cup_F (W_2 \times S^1).$$

It is possible to smoothly deform the G_2-forms φ_j near B_j such that they coincide on $D_j \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T+1]$ with the G_2-structure φ on the cylinder. Since F preserves φ, M carries a well-defined G_2-structure that we also denote by φ. If we choose T large enough, we can make the torsion of φ arbitrarily small. A theorem of Joyce [11] allows us to deform φ into a parallel G_2-structure. We refer the reader to [12] for further details. Given this construction, there is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ *and* $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ *be matching admissible pairs. Then the above constructed manifold* M *has finite fundamental group and admits a* G_2*-structure* φ *which induces a metric* g_φ *with* G_2 *holonomy.*

Proof. See [13, Proposition 2.4] □

Topological data of M**.** Matching admissible pairs $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ come with an embedding $D_j \to \overline{W}_j$, which yields homomorphisms $\iota_j : H^2(W_j, \mathbb{R}) \to H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$ for $j = 1, 2$. For $X := \iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R})) \cap h \circ \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R}))$ we write

$$d_j := d(\overline{W}_j) := \dim \operatorname{kern} \iota_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad n := \dim X. \tag{2.5}$$

By [13] the restrictions of the intersection form on $H^2(D_1, \mathbb{R})$ to $\iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R}))$ and $h \circ \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R}))$, respectively, are such that X is negatively definite and there are unique orthogonal decompositions

$$\iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R})) = X \oplus X_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad h \circ \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R})) = X \oplus X_2 \tag{2.6}$$

with respect to this form.

Theorem 2.8. *Let* $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ *be matching admissible pairs,* d_j*,* X*,* n *and* X_j *defined as in equations (2.5) and (2.6) and* M *the generalized connected sum from Theorem 2.7. Then*

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(W_1) \times \pi_1(W_2) \tag{2.7}$$

$$b^2(M) = n + d_1 + d_2 \tag{2.8}$$

If we assume that $b^2(W_1) - d_1 + b^2(W_2) - d_2 \leq 22$ *and that* X_1 *and* X_2 *are orthogonal to each other, we have*

$$b^3(M) = b^3(\overline{W}_1) + b^3(\overline{W}_2) + b^2(M) - 2n + 23. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. See [13, Theorem 2.5] □

3. Admissible pairs of Fano-type

Construction of the pairs. A building block of our G_2-manifolds will be admissible pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ where $\overline{W}$ is constructed from a Fano variety. We therefore shortly review Kovalev's [12] construction of those pairs.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a Fano threefold and $D \in |-K_V|$ be a $K3$ surface. Moreover, let $\sigma : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blow-up of V along a curve C in D representing the self-intersection class $D \cdot D$ and let $\widetilde{D}$ be the closure of $\sigma^{-1}(D \setminus C)$. Then $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{D})$ is an admissible pair.*

Proof. See [12, Proposition 6.42. and Corollary 6.43.] □

Remark 3.2. In fact, any generic anticanonical divisor D of V is a $K3$ surface. The curve C from the above theorem exists, since $D \cdot D$ is always nontrivial.

Definition 3.3. Let $(\overline{W}, D)$ be an admissible pair such that $\overline{W}$ is, as in Theorem 3.1, the blow-up of a Fano threefold V and D is the divisor $\widetilde{D}$ from that theorem. In this situation, we call $(\overline{W}, D)$ an admissible pair *of Fano-type (that is constructed from V).*

We describe the topology of $\overline{W}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(\overline{W}, D)$ be as above. Then we have*

$$\pi_1(W) = 0\,, \tag{3.1}$$

$$b^2(W) = b^2(V)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

$$b^3(\overline{W}) = b^3(V) + (-K_V^3) + 2\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. The first statement is a part of Corollary 6.43. in [12] and the second one is proven in Section 8 of [12]. □

The $K3$ lattice. Before we explain how to glue together the admissible pairs, we need some background on lattices. Our introduction to lattice theory is similar as in [13]. A *lattice* L is a free abelian group of finite rank together with a symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-valued bilinear form. We denote the inner product of x and y by $x \cdot y$ and define x^2 as $x \cdot x$. L is called *even* if $x^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in L$. Let $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ be an arbitrary basis of L. L is called *unimodular* if the determinant of the matrix $(e_i \cdot e_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ is ± 1. A sublattice K of L is called *primitive* if all elements of the quotient group L/K are of infinite order. We call an element $x \in L$ primitive if the lattice that is generated by x is a primitive sublattice of L. A morphism $\iota : L_1 \to L_2$ of two lattices L_1 and L_2 is a *primitive embedding* if ι is injective and $\iota(L_1)$ is a primitive sublattice of L_2. Let L be a lattice with non-degenerate bilinear form and let $L^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$. Since the map $\varphi : L \to L^*$ with $\varphi(x)(y) := x \cdot y$ is injective, we can consider L as a sublattice of L^*. L^*/L is called the *discriminant group* of L. It is a finite group of order $|\det{(e_i \cdot e_j)_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}}|$. The cohomology group $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ of a $K3$ surface S together with the intersection form is a unimodular, even, non-degenerate lattice with signature $(3, 19)$ and thus isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^3 \oplus (-\mathrm{E}_8)^2$. Here H denotes the hyperbolic plane lattice and E_8 the root lattice corresponding to the root system E_8. From now on, L will always denote the lattice $\mathrm{H}^3 \oplus (-\mathrm{E}_8)^2$.

The glueing construction. In this subsection, we outline how Kovalev [12] proves the existence of a matching of two admissible pairs of Fano-type. Let $(\overline{W}, D)$ be an admissible pair that is constructed from a Fano threefold V. It follows from the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem that $d(\overline{W}) = 0$. Therefore, the embedding $\iota : D \to V$ of the divisor D yields an injective homomorphism $\iota^* : H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(D, \mathbb{Z})$. We choose a *marking* ϕ of D, i.e. a lattice isomorphism $\phi : H^2(D, \mathbb{Z}) \to L$. We are now able to consider $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})$ as a sublattice K of L. One can show that K is a primitive sublattice of L. Moreover, it has signature $(1, t)$, i.e. it is *hyperbolic*.

Let K be an arbitrary primitive sublattice of L with signature $(1, t)$. A marked $K3$ surface S is called *ample K-polarized* if its Picard lattice $\phi(H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(S)) \subset L$ contains K and K contains a Kähler class. We describe the moduli space $\mathscr{K}3(K)$ of those $K3$ surfaces, since we will need that description later on. Let S be an ample K-polarized $K3$ surface. The complex line that is generated by the $(2, 0)$-form $\kappa_J + i\kappa_K$ is orthogonal to the Picard lattice. Therefore, it is an element of the period domain

$$\mathcal{D}_K := \{[v]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(K^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}) | v \cdot v = 0\,,\ \bar{v} \cdot v > 0\}\,.$$

We define

$$\triangle(K) := \{\delta \in K^\perp | \delta^2 = -2\}$$

and

$$H_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{P}(K^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}) | z \cdot \delta = 0\}\,.$$

The moduli space $\mathscr{K}3(K)$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{D}_K \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \triangle(K)} H_\delta \cap \mathcal{D}_K$$

and the isomorphism is given by the period map. This space is a $(20 - \mathrm{rk}(K))$-dimensional quasiprojective variety. The above results are described in more detail by Dolgachev [6].

The strategy of [12] is to start with a Fano variety V and a divisor D. The set of all $K3$ surfaces that we can obtain by deforming the complex structure of V and varying D within the anticanonical class with respect to that complex structure yields a subset $\mathscr{K3}'(K)$ of $\mathscr{K3}(K)$. This subset turns out to be Zariski-open and is in particular dense. If we have two admissible pairs of Fano-type and the matching condition is not satisfied, this fact guarantees that we often can deform them such that a matching exists.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$ be two admissible pairs of Fano-type that are constructed from Fano threefolds V_j. If $b^2(V_1) + b^2(V_2) \leq 11$, the matching condition can be satisfied by deforming the complex structures on V_j and varying each D_j within $|-K_{V_j}|$. As a consequence, a G_2-manifold can be constructed from $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$.*

Proof. See [13, Theorem 5.7.] □

4. Non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order on $K3$ surfaces

The complete classification of $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime degree was carried out by M. Artebani, A. Sarti and S. Taki in [2]. This section is intended to give a quick review of this result. While automorphisms of non-prime degree are very interesting on their own, there is no classification at hand as in the prime degree case.

Let p be a prime, S a $K3$ surface with a marking $\phi : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to L$ and $\rho : S \to S$ be an automorphism with

$$\rho^p = \mathrm{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\kappa_J + i\kappa_K) = \zeta_p \cdot (\kappa_J + i\kappa_K)\,,$$

where $\zeta_p := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right)$. ρ is called a *non-symplectic involution* if $p = 2$ and a *non-symplectic automorphism of order* p else. The pull-back ρ^* induces an isometry $\phi \circ \rho^* \circ \phi^{-1}$ of L, which we denote by ρ, too. We define the invariant lattice L^ρ as $\{x \in L | \rho(x) = x\}$. It is possible to show that L^ρ is a hyperbolic primitive sublattice of L. Moreover, any element of $(L^\rho)^*/L^\rho$ is of order p. We call such a lattice *p-elementary.*

Involutions. In this subsection, we assume that $p = 2$. Let K be a sublattice of L with the above properties. Then there exists a non-symplectic involution ρ_K with invariant lattice K. These observations lead to the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *The pair (S, ρ) depends up to deformation only on the triple of integers (r, a, δ), where*

1. $r = rank(L^\rho)$
2. $(L^\rho)^*/L^\rho = \mathbb{Z}_2^a$
3. $\delta = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x^*)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for any } x^* \in (L^\rho)^* \\ 1, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Proof. See [14, 15, 16]. □

There are 75 possible triples (r, a, δ). A list of those triples can be found in the appendix of [13]. We always have $1 \leq r \leq 20$, $0 \leq a \leq 11$, and $r - a \geq 0$. The last statement is a consequence of the relation $rank(K) - l(K) \geq 0$ where $l(K)$ denotes the minimal number of generators of K^*/K. This is in fact true for any non-degenerate lattice.

Denote by $S^\rho \subset S$ the set of fixed points of the involution. Then there are the following two exceptional types. For $(r, a, \delta) = (10, 10, 0)$ the involution has no fixed points and S/ρ is an Enriques surface and for $(r, a, \delta) = (10, 8, 0)$ S^ρ is the union of two elliptic curves. In all other cases $S^\rho \neq \emptyset$ and we have

$$S^\rho = C_g \cup E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_k,$$

where C_g is a curve of genus $g = \frac{1}{2}(22 - r - a)$ and the E_i are rational curves with $k = \frac{1}{2}(r - a)$.

Order 3. This subsection summarizes the results of [1, 19] on non-symplectic automorphisms of order 3. Analogously to the previous case, we have $(L^\rho)^*/L^\rho = \mathbb{Z}_3^a$ for an $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The complement of L^ρ has even rank and we thus introduce the invariant $m := \frac{1}{2}(22 - r) \in \mathbb{N}$. The pair (m, a) determines a unique even hyperbolic 3-elementary lattice. The pairs that yield an invariant lattice of a non-symplectic automorphism of order 3 are listed in [1, 19]. As in the case of involutions, (m, a) fixes ρ up to deformations. The fixed locus can be described as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *Let ρ be a non-symplectic automorphism of order 3 of a $K3$ surface S. The fixed locus S^ρ is never empty. Moreover, it is the disjoint union of $n \leq 9$ isolated points and $k \leq 6$ curves such that*

1. *there is one curve of genus $g \geq 0$ and $k - 1$ rational curves or*
2. *$k = 0$ and $n = 3$.*

The number n satisfies

$$m + n = 10 \, .$$

In the first case we have,

$$k = 6 - \tfrac{1}{2}(m + a) \quad \textit{and} \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}(m - a)$$

and in the second case we have

$$m = a = 7 \, .$$

Proof. See [1, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.7] and [19, Theorem 1.1]. □

Higher orders. The primes p such that there exists a non-symplectic automorphism of order p are precisely $2, 3, \ldots, 19$. The following theorem is the key for the classification of automorphisms of higher order.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime and K be an even, hyperbolic, p-elementary lattice. We denote the rank of K by r and a shall be the integer with $K^*/K = \mathbb{Z}_p^a$. K is isometric to the invariant lattice of a non-symplectic automorphism of order p iff*

$$22 - r - (p-1)a \in 2(p-1)\mathbb{N}_0 \, .$$

The isomorphism type of K determines (S, ρ) up to deformation. Moreover, K is uniquely determined by the integers p, r, and a.

Proof. See [2, Theorem 0.1.]. □

A complete list of the lattices with $p \geq 5$ satisfying the conditions of the above theorem can be found in [2]. The fixed locus is non-empty. More precisely, it is the disjoint union of n isolated points, k rational curves and (if $k \neq -1$) of a curve of genus

$$\frac{22 - r - (p-1)a}{2(p-1)}$$

For each value of p, there exist formulas for n and k, which can also be found in Theorem 0.1. in [2]. If $p > 11$, the $K3$ surface is rigid:

Theorem 4.4. *There is exactly one isomorphism class of $K3$ surfaces with a non-symplectic automorphism ρ of order 13, 17 and 19, represented by the following Weierstrass fibration:*

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= x^3 + t^5x + t,\ \rho(x,y,t) = (\zeta_{13}^5 x, \zeta_{13} y, \zeta_{13}^2 t), \\ y^2 &= x^3 + t^7x + t^2,\ \rho(x,y,t) = (\zeta_{17}^7 x, \zeta_{17}^2 y, \zeta_{17}^2 t), \\ y^2 &= x^3 + t^7x + t,\ \rho(x,y,t) = (\zeta_{19}^7 x, \zeta_{19} y, \zeta_{19}^2 t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. [2, Theorem 8.4.]. □

Let $x \in S^\rho$ be a fixed point. The action of ρ on the tangent space T_xS is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_p^{t+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_p^{p-t} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $t \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$. If $t = 0$, we are on a curve and in all other cases the above matrix describes the action at an isolated fixed point.

Moduli spaces. We describe the moduli space of all $K3$ surfaces with a non-symplectic automorphism of a certain type. In order to specify our problem, we consider a triple (S, ϕ, ρ) of a $K3$ surface, a marking, and a non-symplectic automorphism of order p and search for all other triples (S', ϕ', ρ') such that the actions of ρ and ρ' on L coincide. (S, ϕ, ρ) is identified with (S', ϕ', ρ') if there exists an isomorphism $f : S \to S'$ with $f^{-1} \circ \rho' \circ f = \rho$ and $\phi' = \phi \circ f^*$. We denote the moduli space of those triples analogously to Section 3 by $\mathscr{K}3'(L^\sigma)$.

In [15] it is proven that any $K3$ surface S with a non-symplectic automorphism ρ of prime order p is algebraic. The average $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho^i(x)$ of an ample class x of S is an ample class in L^ρ. $\mathscr{K}3'(L^\rho)$ is thus a subset of the moduli space $\mathscr{K}3(L^\rho)$ of all ample L^ρ-polarized $K3$ surfaces. Since ρ acts as multiplication with ζ_p on the $(2,0)$-form, the complex line that is generated by that form is an element of the set

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho^p := \{[v]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes \mathbb{C}) | v \in \operatorname{Eig}(\rho, \zeta_p)\ ,\ v \cdot v = 0\ ,\ \bar{v} \cdot v > 0\}$$

where $\operatorname{Eig}(\rho, \zeta_p) \subset L$ is the eigenspace of the action of ρ on L to the eigenvalue ζ_p. Analogously to Section 3, we define

$$\triangle(\rho) := \{\delta \in L^{\rho\perp} | \delta^2 = -2\}$$

and

$$H_\delta := \{[z]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes \mathbb{C}) | z \in \operatorname{Eig}(\rho, \zeta_p)\ ,\ z \cdot \delta = 0\}\ .$$

The moduli space $\mathscr{K}3'(L^\rho)$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\rho \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \triangle(\rho)} H_\delta \cap \mathcal{D}^p_\rho \,.$$

If $p = 2$, this is a variety of dimension $20 - r$ and if $p > 2$, we have $\dim \mathscr{K}3'(L^\rho) = \frac{22-r}{p-1} - 1$. In the case where $p > 2$, $\mathscr{K}3'(L^\rho)$ is not Zariski-open in $\mathscr{K}3(L^\rho)$.

5. Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology

In this section, we introduce the orbifold cohomology of Chen and Ruan [4] for global quotients. Since we do not need the cup product, we define the cohomology as a graded vector space. Let M be a complex n-dimensional manifold and let G be a finite group acting holomorphically on M. In this situation, the quotient stack $[M/G]$ is a complex orbifold. For any $x \in M$ we denote the stabilizer group of x by G_x. Since any $g \in G_x$ is of finite order, the isotropy action of g on T_xM can be diagonalized to a matrix $\mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi i m_1}, \ldots, e^{2\pi i m_n})$ with $m_i \in [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. The *degree shifting number* or *age* of g at x is defined as $\mathrm{age}(g,x) := m_1 + \ldots + m_n$. For reasons of simplicity, we assume that $G_x \subset SL(T_xM)$ for all $x \in M$ and that for all $g \in G_x$ the subspace of T_xM on which g acts trivially has codimension $\neq 1$, i.e. all singularities are Gorenstein. This assumption yields $\mathrm{age}(g,x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let M^g be the fixed-point set of g. The age of g is constant along any connected component Σ of M^g. We therefore denote it by $\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)$. The kth *orbifold cohomology group* of $[M/G]$ is given by

$$H^k_{orb}([M/G]) = \bigoplus_{[g] \subset G, \Sigma \subset M^g} H^{k-2\,\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})^{C_g} \,. \tag{5.1}$$

In the above formula, $[g]$ is the conjugacy class of g and the direct sum is taken over all conjugacy classes of G and all connected components of M^g. C_g is the centralizer of g and $H^{k-2\,\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)}(M_g, \mathbb{C})^{C_g}$ is the set of all elements in $H^{k-2\,\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)}(M_g, \mathbb{C})$ that are invariant under C_g. We remark that $H^{k-2\,\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)}(M_g, \mathbb{C})^{C_g}$ is independent of the choice of $g \in [g]$. If $[g] \neq \{e\}$, Σ/C_g is called a *twisted sector*. If M is Kähler, all twisted sectors are Kähler, too, and we have a Hodge decomposition

$$H^{k,l}_{orb}([M/G]) = \bigoplus_{[g] \subset G, \Sigma \subset M^g} H^{k-\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma), l-\mathrm{age}(g,\Sigma)}(\Sigma)^{C_g} \,. \tag{5.2}$$

We define the orbifold Hodge numbers $h^{k,l}_{orb}([M/G])$ as $\dim H^{k,l}_{orb}([M/G])$. If G is an abelian group of prime order p, all M^g with $g \neq e$ coincide although $\mathrm{age}(g, \Sigma)$ may still depend on g. Let γ be a generator of G. Then we have:

$$H^{k,l}_{orb}([M/G]) = H^{k,l}(M)^G \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1,\dots,p-1,\, \Sigma\subset M^\gamma} H^{k-\mathrm{age}(\gamma^i,\Sigma),l-\mathrm{age}(\gamma^i,\Sigma)}(\Sigma)\,, \tag{5.3}$$

since $C_g = G$ for all $g \in G$ and C_g acts trivially on Σ if $g \neq e$. The following theorem will help us to calculate the Hodge numbers of the threefold $\overline{W}$:

Theorem 5.1. *(Yasuda [20]) Let X and X' be complete varieties with Gorenstein quotient singularities. Moreover, let $\pi : Z \to X$ and $\pi' : Z \to X'$ be proper birational morphisms such that the pull-backs $\pi^* K_X$, $\pi'^* K_{X'}$ of the canonical bundles coincide. Then the orbifold cohomology groups of X and X' have the same Hodge structure.*

If Y is a crepant resolution of M/G, we thus have

$$h^{k,l}(Y) = h^{k,l}_{orb}([M/G])\,, \tag{5.4}$$

since the orbifold cohomology coincides with the Dolbeault cohomology for nonsingular varieties. In particular, $h^{k,l}(Y)$ is independent of the choice of the crepant resolution.

6. Threefolds of non-symplectic type

Construction of the threefolds W and $\overline{W}$. Fix a prime number p and let $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the automorphism acting as $z \mapsto \zeta_p z$ in local coordinates. Moreover, let S be a $K3$ surface with a non-symplectic automorphism ρ of order p and define

$$Z := (S \times \mathbb{P}^1)/(\rho \times \psi).$$

Then the group $G := \langle \rho \times \psi \rangle$ has the property that for all fixed points $x \in (S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G$ the stabilizer G_x acts with determinant one on the tangent space of $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at x, i.e.

$$G_x \subset SL(T_x(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)).$$

Thus the orbifold $[Z]$ has only Gorenstein quotient singularities. By [18, Main theorem] there is a crepant minimal resolution $\bar{f} : \overline{W} \to Z$ such that by Theorem 5.1 the following equation holds

$$h^{p,q}(\overline{W}) = h^{p,q}_{orb}([Z]) \quad \forall\ p, q.$$

Roan explicitly constructs this resolution in [17]. Locally around each singular point x the orbifold Z looks like $\mathbb{C}^3/g_x$ for some finitely generated group g_x. Moreover Z is toroidal, i.e. for each neighbourhood $\mathbb{C}^3/g_x$ there is a rational convex polyhedral cone σ in some lattice N such that the affine toric variety U_σ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3/g_x$. In this language the resolution $\bar{f} : \overline{W} \to Z$ locally is nothing but the toric resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^3/g_x} \to \mathbb{C}^3/g_x$$

associated to a maximal projective subdivision of σ, i.e. given by toric blow-ups. Note that the map $S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to Z$ is locally a toric morphism $\mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3/g_x$, as it is induced by a map of cones. Therefore $\bar{f}$, acting as the identity on $Z \setminus Sing(Z)$, can be lifted to a birational transformation $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{W} \to S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. As $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is simply connected, so is $\widetilde{W}$. Further note that the action of G can be extended to an action $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{W}$, by setting $g(x) = x$ for all $g \in \widetilde{G}$ and $x \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G)$. Taking the quotient by $\widetilde{G}$ thus reveals $\overline{W} \cong \widetilde{W}/\widetilde{G}$ as a p-fold branched covering of $\widetilde{W}$. This situation can therefore be summarized in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{W} \arrow[r, "/\widetilde{G}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{f}"'] & \overline{W} \arrow[d, "\bar{f}"] \\
S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r, "/G"'] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now choose a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ and denote by D' the image of $S \times \{x\}$ in Z. Then D' is a $K3$ surface and we consider its strict transform D under the resolution $\bar{f} : \overline{W} \to Z$. Then, since $\bar{f}$ is crepant, D is a $K3$ surface isomorphic to S. Moreover, the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{W}/D}$ is holomorphically trivial as a tubular neighbourhood of $S \times \{x\}$ in $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ becomes a neighbourhood of D in $\overline{W}$. Next, we have to prove that D is an anti-canonical divisor of $\overline{W}$. We start with the divisor $S \times \{x\}$ of $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which we abbreviate by S. The adjunction formula yields

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} \cong K_S \cong K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1}|_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1/S} \cong (K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L(S))|_S$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the trivial line bundle and $L(S)$ is the line bundle that corresponds to S. Since the kernel of the restriction map $\imath : H^2(S \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the Poincaré dual of S, it follows that $K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L(S)$ is a power of $L(S)$. By calculating the first Chern classes of $K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ and $L(S)$, we see that $K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L(S) \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. The pull-back map from $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^2(S \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective and thus we have

$$c_1(K_Z \otimes L(D')) = 0\,.$$

Since c_1 is defined by an integral, $\bar{f}^{-1}(Sing(Z))$ has measure zero and $\bar{f}$ is crepant, we also have $c_1(K_{\overline{W}} \otimes L(D)) = 0$ and D is thus anti-canonical. The threefold $\overline{W}$ is Kähler. More precisely, we have

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $[\kappa] \in H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ *be a* ρ*-invariant Kähler class. Then there exists a Kähler class* $[\omega] \in H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R})$ *such that* $[\omega|_D] = [\kappa]$*.*

Proof. Let $[\omega_0]$ be a generator of $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. There is a canonical isomorphism between D and S and we can thus identify $[\kappa]$ with a class in $H^2(S, \mathbb{R})$. $\widetilde{f}^*(pr_1^*[\kappa] + pr_2^*[\omega_0])$ is Kähler on $\widetilde{W} \setminus \widetilde{f}^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G)$ and degenerate on $\widetilde{f}^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G)$, which is the union of exceptional divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_r$. For a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

$$[\widetilde{\omega}] := \widetilde{f}^*(pr_1^*[\kappa] + pr_2^*[\omega_0]) - \epsilon(E_1 + \ldots + E_r)$$

is a Kähler class on $\widetilde{W}$. By the construction of the $\widetilde{G}$-action, this class is $\widetilde{G}$-invariant. Therefore, there exists a Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R})$ whose pull-back is $[\widetilde{\omega}]$. Obviously, we have $[\omega|_D] = [\kappa]$. □

Hence, except for simple connectedness, the pair $(\overline{W}, D)$ constructed here satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.3. This remaining issue is treated in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $3 \leq p \leq 19$ *be prime, then both manifolds* $\overline{W}$ *and* $W := \overline{W} \setminus D$ *as constructed above are simply connected.*

Proof. As we have seen, the set of fixed points of ρ is always non-empty for $p \geq 3$. Consider the universal covering $\widehat{W}$ of $\overline{W}$. As $\widetilde{W}$ is simply connected, the morphism $\widetilde{W} \to \overline{W}$ factors through a morphism $\widetilde{W} \to \widehat{W}$ followed by the universal covering. Since ρ has fixed points, $\widetilde{W} \to \widehat{W}$ can not have degree one and so it has to have degree p, since p is prime. Thus $\widehat{W} \cong \overline{W}$ is simply connected. To see that W is simply connected, consider the natural projection

$$pr_1 : Z = (S \times \mathbb{P}^1)/G \to S/\rho$$

and let $\nu := pr_1 \circ \bar{f}$. Let $x \in S/\rho$ be a point in the branch locus of $S \to S/\rho$, with $x' \in S$ mapping to x. The inverse image $\nu^{-1}(x)$ consists of two components. One of them is due to the resolution of the singularities and corresponds to the preimage of the fixed points $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ of ψ. This component does not intersect the anti-canonical divisor but the other one, namely the rational curve $l := \{x'\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \overline{W}$, does. More precisely, l

intersects D in precisely one point and therefore $l^* := l \setminus (l \cap D) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Now $\overline{W}$ and D are simply connected, so $\pi_1(W)$ is generated by a loop around D, which we may assume to lie in l^*. Since $l^* \cong \mathbb{C}$ is contractable, we see $\pi_1(W) = 0$, which finishes the proof. □

Corollary 6.3. *For all primes $p \geq 3$ and every $K3$ surface S with a non-symplectic automorphism of order p, the above constructed $(\overline{W}, D)$ is an admissible pair. Moreover, if $[\kappa] \in H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ is a ρ-invariant Kähler class, there exists an asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metric on W such that for sufficiently large T the Kähler form on $D \subset D \times S^1 \times [T, \infty) \subset W$ is in the class $[\kappa]$.*

For $p = 2$ and $S^\rho \neq \emptyset$, the above corollary is proven in [13]. Our proofs of Lemma 6.1 and 6.2 are generalizations of the corresponding statements in [13]. We call an admissible pair that is constructed by the methods of this section *of non-symplectic type.* If p is composite, we cannot conclude that the map $\widetilde{W} \to \widehat{W}$ is of degree p and we thus do not know if $\pi_1(\overline{W}) = 0$.

Computation of Hodge numbers. Our aim is to glue matching admissible pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ to G_2-manifolds. To find the relevant topological data (b_2, b_3) of the G_2-manifold, we first have to compute the Hodge diamond of $\overline{W}$ by Theorem 2.8. In [13] this was done for $p = 2$ by elementary topological arguments. In the following example we show how to recover the topological data by computing the Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology of $\overline{W}$. Then we compute the Hodge numbers for threefolds constructed from automorphisms with order $p \geq 3$.

Example 6.4 (Involutions)**.** It is well-known that the Hodge-diamonds of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the $K3$ surface S are

$$h^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \\ 0 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad h^{*,*}(S) = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ 1 & & 20 & & 1 \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & & \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

The Künneth theorem yields

$$h^{*,*}(S\times\mathbb{P}^1) = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 1 & & 21 & & 1 & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & 1 & & 21 & & 1 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

We calculate the part of $H^{*,*}(S\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ that is invariant under $\rho\times\psi$. Any harmonic form on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is ψ-invariant. Moreover, the $(2,0)$-form on S is not fixed by ρ and $\dim H^{1,1}(S)^\rho = r$. Therefore, we have

$$h^{*,*}(S\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{\rho\times\psi} = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & r+1 & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & 0 & & r+1 & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

We assume that $(r,a,\delta)\notin\{(10,10,0),(10,8,0)\}$. In this situation, the fixed point set of $\rho\times\psi$ consists of two copies of $C_g\cup E_1\cup\ldots E_k$ and we have

$$h^{*,*}(\mathrm{Sing}(Z)) = \begin{array}{ccc} & 2+2k & \\ 2g & & 2g \\ & 2+2k & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} & 2+r-a & \\ 22-r-a & & 22-r-a \\ & 2+r-a & \end{array} \tag{6.4}$$

This formula also applies to the case $(r,a,\delta)=(10,8,0)$, which satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.3. The action of $\rho\times\psi$ on the tangent space of a fixed point can be written as $\mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,1)$. Therefore, the age is 1 and $h^{*,*}(\overline{W}) = h^{*,*}_{orb}([Z]) = h^{*,*}(S\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{\rho\times\psi} + h^{*-1,*-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(Z))$, which equals

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & 3+2r-a & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 22-r-a & & 22-r-a & & 0 \\ & 0 & & 3+2r-a & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.5}$$

This coincides with the results of [13]. In particular, we have $h^{1,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{3,0}(\overline{W}) = 0$.

Automorphisms of order 3. Let $x \in S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be an isolated fixed point of $\rho \times \psi$. The action of $\rho \times \psi$ on $T_x S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The age of $\rho \times \psi$ at x is 2. Analogously, the age of $(\rho \times \psi)^2$ is 1. The action at a point of a fixed curve is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the age is thus 1. For $(\rho \times \psi)^2$ we obtain the same age. Let P be the union of all isolated points and C be the union of all curves in the fixed locus. The Hodge numbers of $\overline{W}$ satisfy

$$h^{*,*}(\overline{W}) = h^{*,*}(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{\rho \times \psi} + 2h^{*-1,*-1}(C) + h^{*-1,*-1}(P) + h^{*-2,*-2}(P)\,. \tag{6.6}$$

The first summand and $h^{*-1,*-1}(C)$ can be computed as in the case $p = 2$. As in Section 4, let k be the number of rational curves and n be the number of isolated points in S^ρ. If $(m, a) \neq (7, 7)$, the Hodge diamond of $\overline{W}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & (r+1)+(4+4k)+2n & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 4g & & 4g & & 0 \\ & 0 & & (r+1)+(4+4k)+2n & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

By inserting $r = 22 - 2m$, $g = \frac{m-a}{2}$, $n = 10 - m$, and $k = 6 - \frac{m+a}{2}$, this becomes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & 71-6m-2a & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 2m-2a & & 2m-2a & & 0 \\ & 0 & & 71-6m-2a & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

In the exceptional case $m = a = 7$, the fixed locus consists of three isolated points and there are no fixed curves. The Hodge numbers are $h^{1,1} = 15$ and $h^{1,2} = 0$ as predicted by the above diamond. We go through the table of [1] and obtain the following values of $h^{1,1}$ and $h^{1,2}$.

m	a	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$	m	a	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$	m	a	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$
1	1	63	0	5	3	35	4	7	5	19	4
2	0	59	4	5	5	31	0	7	7	15	0
2	2	55	0	6	0	35	12	8	2	19	12
3	1	51	4	6	2	31	8	8	4	15	8
3	3	47	0	6	4	27	4	9	1	15	16
4	2	43	4	6	6	23	0	9	3	11	12
4	4	39	0	7	1	27	12	10	0	11	20
5	1	39	8	7	3	23	8	10	2	7	16

Automorphisms of order $\mathbf{p > 3}$. The action of $\rho \times \psi$ on the tangent space of a fixed point is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_p^{t+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_p^{p-t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_p^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.9}$$

If $t = 0$, we are on a curve and the age of $(\rho \times \psi)^l$ is 1 for all l. In all other cases, the above matrix describes the action at an isolated fixed point. The age of $(\rho \times \psi)^l$ at an isolated point is 1 or 2 depending on p, t, and l. If the age of $(\rho \times \psi)^l$ is 1, the age $(\rho \times \psi)^{-l}$ is 2 and vice versa. Therefore, we have:

(6.10)
$$h^{*,*}(\overline{W}) = h^{*,*}(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{\rho\times\psi} + (p-1) \cdot h^{*-1,*-1}(C) + \tfrac{p-1}{2} \cdot h^{*-1,*-1}(P) + \tfrac{p-1}{2} \cdot h^{*-2,*-2}(P)\,.$$

Analogously to the case $p = 3$, the Hodge diamond is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & (r+1) + 2(p-1)(k+1) + n(p-1) & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 2(p-1)g & & 2(p-1)g & & 0 \\ & 0 & & (r+1) + 2(p-1)(k+1) + n(p-1) & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.11}$$

If the fixed locus contains no curve, the Hodge diamond is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & (r+1) + n(p-1) & & 0 & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & 0 & & (r+1) + n(p-1) & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \tag{6.12}$$

The invariant lattices for $p \geq 5$ are described explicitly in [2]. From this description, we obtain the values of r and a and thus of g, k, and n. Below we list the values of $h^{1,1}(\overline{W})$ and $h^{1,2}(\overline{W})$, which determine the Hodge diamond of $\overline{W}$.

$\underline{p = 5}$

r	a	g	k	n	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$
2	1	2	0	1	15	16
6	2	1	0	4	31	8
6	4	–	–	4	23	0
10	1	1	1	7	55	8
10	3	0	0	7	47	0
14	2	0	1	10	71	0
18	1	0	2	13	95	0

$\underline{p = 7}$

r	a	g	k	n	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$
4	1	1	0	3	35	12
4	3	–	–	3	23	0
10	0	1	1	8	83	12
10	2	0	0	8	71	0
16	1	0	1	13	119	0

$\underline{p = 11}$

r	a	g	k	n	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$
2	0	1	0	2	43	20
2	2	–	–	2	23	0
12	1	0	0	11	143	0

For the three cases with higher p, we obtain

p	r	a	g	k	n	$h^{1,1}$	$h^{1,2}$
13	10	1	0	0	9	143	0
17	6	1	–	–	7	119	0
19	4	1	–	–	5	95	0

Finally, we compute the number $d(\overline{W})$ by the same method as in [13]. Let ι be the map ι_j from equation (2.5), $\iota' : H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R}) \to H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ be the inclusion pull-back and $\iota'' : H^{1,1}(\overline{W}) \to H^{1,1}(D)$ be its restriction to $H^{1,1}(\overline{W})$. In the setting of Theorem 2.3, we have $b^2(\overline{W}) = b^2(W) + 1$ [12]. Since $h^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = 0$, we can conclude that

$$\dim \operatorname{kern} \iota = \dim \operatorname{kern} \iota' - 1 = \dim \operatorname{kern} \iota'' - 1\,.$$

As in [13], the image of ι'' is $L^\rho \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we have

$$d(\overline{W}) = h^{1,1}(\overline{W}) - r - 1\,. \tag{6.13}$$

This number can be easily computed with help of the tables of this section.

7. G_2-MANIFOLDS FROM MATCHING ADMISSIBLE PAIRS

The aim of this section is to find matching admissible pairs $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$ and to determine the Betti numbers of the resulting G_2-manifolds. From now on, let $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ be two admissible pairs of Fano or of non-symplectic type. We will index all objects as D, ρ, r, a that belong to $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ by j. Let ι_j be the embedding of D_j into $\overline{W}_j$ and $\phi_j : H^2(D_j, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{L}$ be a marking. We denote the primitive sublattice $\phi_j \circ \iota_j^*(H^2(\overline{W}_j, \mathbb{Z}))$ of L by L_j. If $\mathrm{L}_1 \oplus \mathrm{L}_2$ can be primitively embedded into L, it is easier to construct a matching, although this condition is not necessary. We therefore need some more background on lattice embeddings.

Theorem 7.1. *Let N be an even non-degenerate lattice of signature (t_+, t_-) and E be an even unimodular lattice of signature (l_+, l_-). The minimum number of generators of N^*/N we denote by $l(N)$. If*

1. $t_+ \leq l_+$,
2. $t_- \leq l_-$, *and*
3. $2\, rk\, N \leq rk\, E$ *or* $rk\, N + l(N) < rk\, E$,

there exists a primitive embedding of N into E. If in addition $t_+ < l_+$, $t_- < l_-$, and at least one of the inequalities $2\, rk\, N \leq rk\, E - 2$ and $l(N) + rk\, N \leq rk\, E - 2$ is satisfied, the primitive embedding of N into E is unique up to an isometry of E.

Proof. See [5],[14]. □

Corollary 7.2. *Let L_j, $j = 1, 2$ be even hyperbolic lattices of rank r_j such that $L_j^*/L_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_j}^{a_j}$ for some primes p_j and $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. A primitive embedding of $L_1 \oplus L_2$ into L exists if*

$$r_1 + r_2 \leq 11 \quad \textit{or} \quad r_1 + r_2 + a_1 + a_2 < 22\,.$$

Proof. We have $l(\mathrm{L}_1 \oplus \mathrm{L}_2) = l(\mathrm{L}_1) + l(\mathrm{L}_2)$ and $l(\mathrm{L}_j) = a_j$. The corollary thus is a direct application of Theorem 7.1 to the $K3$ lattice. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let $v, w \in L$ be primitive vectors with $v^2 = w^2$. Then there exists an automorphism ψ of L with $\psi(v) = w$.*

Proof. The sublattices that are generated by v and w are both isomorphic to a one-dimensional lattice N_1. Since $\mathrm{N}_1^*/\mathrm{N}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{|v^2|}$, we have $l(\mathrm{N}_1) = 1$. Let x be the generator of N_1 and let the morphisms $\phi_j : \mathrm{N}_1 \to \mathrm{L}$ be defined by $\phi_1(x) = v$ and $\phi_2(x) = w$. Since the ϕ_j are primitive embeddings, the statement of the corollary follows from the second part of Theorem 7.1. $\square$

If the moduli spaces $\mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_j)$ are open and dense in $\mathscr{K}\mathit{3}(\mathrm{L}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, a matching of D_1 and D_2 can be constructed. We state the result of [13] on this case and sketch its proof.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$ be admissible pairs. Each $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ shall be either of non-symplectic type with $p_j = 2$ or of Fano-type. We assume that $L_1 \oplus L_2$ can be primitively embedded into L. In this situation, we can deform the complex structures and Kähler metrics on $\overline{W}_j$ such that $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ are still admissible and there exists a matching $h : H^2(D_2, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(D_1, \mathbb{Z})$. As a consequence, the manifolds $\overline{W}_j \times S^1$ can be glued together to a simply connected G_2-manifold.*

Proof. Let $\kappa_I^{(j)}$, $\kappa_J^{(j)}$, and $\kappa_K^{(j)}$ be the three Kähler forms on D_j. For reasons of brevity, we denote the corresponding Kähler classes also by $\kappa_I^{(j)}$, $\kappa_J^{(j)}$, and $\kappa_K^{(j)}$. Let $\phi_j : H^2(D_j, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{L}$ be markings such that $\phi_1(\mathrm{L}_1) \perp \phi_2(\mathrm{L}_2)$ and $\phi_1(\mathrm{L}_1) \cap \phi_2(\mathrm{L}_2) = \{0\}$. Our aim is to choose the six cohomology classes such that the $\mathbb{R}$-linear extensions of ϕ_j satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \phi_1(\kappa_I^{(1)}) & = & \phi_2(\kappa_J^{(2)}) & =: & v_1 \\ \phi_1(\kappa_J^{(1)}) & = & \phi_2(\kappa_I^{(2)}) & =: & v_2 \\ \phi_1(\kappa_K^{(1)}) & = & -\phi_2(\kappa_K^{(2)}) & =: & v_3 \end{array}$$

If v_1, v_2, and v_3 obey the following conditions, the map $\phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2$ is a matching.

1. v_1 is in the intersection of the Kähler cone of D_1 and $\mathrm{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{R}$,
2. v_2 is in the intersection of the Kähler cone of D_2 and $\mathrm{L}_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$,
3. $v_2 + iv_3$ represents an element of $\mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_1)$, and
4. $v_1 - iv_3$ represents an element of $\mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_2)$,

where we have abbreviated $\phi_j(\mathrm{L}_j)$ by L_j. Our first try is to choose v_1 as an arbitrary Kähler class of D_1 and v_2 as an arbitrary Kähler class of D_2. Since the lattices L_1 and L_2 are hyperbolic, the orthogonal complement N of $\mathrm{L}_1 \oplus \mathrm{L}_2$ has signature $(1, 21 - r_1 - r_2)$. We choose v_3 as a positive vector in $\mathrm{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and normalize the v_i such that we have $v_1^2 = v_2^2 = v_3^2$. The first two conditions on the v_i are satisfied. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [v_2 + iv_3]_{\mathbb{C}} & \in & \mathcal{D}_1 := \{[v]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{L}_1^{\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C}) | v \cdot v = 0 \, , \, \bar{v} \cdot v > 0\} \\ [v_1 - iv_3]_{\mathbb{C}} & \in & \mathcal{D}_2 := \{[v]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{L}_2^{\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C}) | v \cdot v = 0 \, , \, \bar{v} \cdot v > 0\} \end{array}$$

The only remaining problem is that $[v_2 + iv_3]_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $[v_1 - iv_3]_{\mathbb{C}}$ may not be contained in the required open dense subsets $\mathscr{K}3'(\mathrm{L}_j)$ of $\mathcal{D}_j$. The set of all positive planes through a $v_{3-j} \in \mathrm{L}_{3-j} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with $j = 1, 2$ and a $v_3 \in \mathrm{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a $20 - r_j$-dimensional real variety $\mathcal{D}_j^{\mathbb{R}}$. With help of the map, $(v_{3-j}, v_3) \mapsto v_{3-j} + (-1)^{j+1} iv_3$ we can identify $\mathcal{D}_j^{\mathbb{R}}$ with a subvariety of $\mathcal{D}_j$. Kovalev and Lee [13] prove with help of the identity theorem for holomorphic functions that $\mathscr{K}3'(\mathrm{L}_j) \cap \mathcal{D}_j^{\mathbb{R}}$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{D}_j^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let U be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $v_3 \in \mathrm{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that all elements of U are positive. There exist open dense subsets U_j of U such that for all $v \in U_j$ there exists a $v_{3-j} \in \mathrm{L}_{3-j}$ such that $v_{3-j} + (-1)^{j+1} iv$ is in $\mathscr{K}3'(\mathrm{L}_j)$. By making the U_j smaller, we can force v_{3-j} to be - not necessarily integral - Kähler classes. If we replace v_3 by a $v \in U_1 \cap U_2$ and choose $v_j \in \mathrm{L}_j$ as above, the matching condition is satisfied. □

Remark 7.5. Kovalev and Lee [13] construct with help of Corollary 7.2 and Theorem 7.4 many compact G_2-manifolds. Their Betti-numbers can be determined by Theorem 2.8, since $n = 0$. All pairs of Betti-numbers (b^2, b^3) that can be obtained by this construction are listed in [13]. Many of them were new at the time of publication of [13].

Suppose that $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ is of non-symplectic type with $p_j \geq 3$. In this case, we have to replace the set $\mathcal{D}_j$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_j^{p_j} := \{[v]_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Eig}(\rho_j, \zeta_{p_j})) | v \cdot v = 0 \, , \; \bar{v} \cdot v > 0\} \, .$$

The following conditions are necessary for the v_k

(1) $v_1^2 = v_2^2 = v_3^2 > 0$,
(2) $v_1 \in \mathrm{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{R}$,
(3) $v_2 \in \mathrm{L}_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$,
(4) $v_3 \in (\mathrm{L}_1 \oplus \mathrm{L}_2)^{\perp} \otimes \mathbb{R}$,
(5) $v_2 + iv_3 \in \mathrm{Eig}(\rho_1, \zeta_{p_1})$, and
(6) $v_1 - iv_3 \in \mathrm{Eig}(\rho_2, \zeta_{p_2})$.

In some cases, there exists no triple (v_1, v_2, v_3) with the above properties.

Example 7.6. Let $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 3$. We denote the three copies of H inside of L by H_1, H_2, and H_3 and we choose non-symplectic automorphisms ρ_j of order p_j such that $\mathrm{L}_1 = \mathrm{H}_1$ and $\mathrm{L}_2 = \mathrm{H}_2 \oplus (-\mathrm{E}_8)^2$. ρ_1 exists, since H is a unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 1)$ that can be primitively embedded into L. Its invariants (r, a, δ) are $(2, 0, 0)$. The lattice $\mathrm{H}_2 \oplus (-\mathrm{E}_8)^2$ is listed in [1, 19] as one of the invariant lattices of a non-symplectic automorphism of order 3. The invariants of ρ_2 are $m = 2$ and $a = 0$.

The complement of $\mathrm{L}_1 \oplus \mathrm{L}_2$ is H_3. v_3 has to be a positive element of H_3 and v_1 of H_1. $\mathrm{L}_2^\perp$ is $\mathrm{H}_1 \oplus \mathrm{H}_3$. The action of ρ_2 on $\mathrm{L}_2^\perp$ is explicitly described in [1]. If we choose a basis of $\mathrm{H}_1 \oplus \mathrm{H}_3$ such that the bilinear form is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.1}$$

ρ_2 acts as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.2}$$

on $\mathrm{L}_2^\perp$. With respect to our basis, we have $v_1 = (v_1^1, v_1^2, 0, 0)$ and $v_3 = (0, 0, v_3^3, v_3^4)$. $v_1 - iv_3$ has to be in $\mathrm{Eig}(\rho_2, \zeta_3)$. By a short calculation, we see that the eigenvalue equation has no solution with real values of v_1^1, v_1^2, v_3^3, and v_3^4. Therefore, there cannot exist a matching if we assume that $\mathrm{L}_1 \cap \mathrm{L}_2 = \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{L}_1 \perp \mathrm{L}_2$.

Another problem in the case $p_j \geq 3$ is that even if our six conditions were satisfied, we still would have to exclude that $v_{3-j} + (-1)^{j+1} i v_3$ is in the exceptional set $\mathcal{D}_j^{p_j} \setminus \mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_j)$. If we assume that r_1 or r_2 equals 1, the situation fortunately becomes simple enough to describe the moduli spaces and Kähler cones in sufficient detail.

Theorem 7.7. *Let $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ be an admissible pair of non-symplectic type with $p_1 = 3$ and let $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ be of Fano-type. Let V be the Fano variety whose blow-up is $\overline{W}_2$. We assume that*

1. *$b^2(V) = 1$,*
2. *$L_1 \oplus L_2$ can be primitively embedded into L,*
3. *there exists a positive eigenvector v of the action of ρ_1 on $L \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(v)$ is a multiple of a primitive class w with $w^2 = (\kappa_I^{(2)})^2$, and*
4. *the orthogonal complements of $Re(v)$ and $Im(v)$ in $L_1^\perp$ contains no δ with $\delta^2 = -2$.*

Then there exists a matching of D_1 and D_2.

Proof. $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$-module [1]. There exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ such that ρ_1 acts as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{matrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{matrix}} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \boxed{\begin{matrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{matrix}} \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathrm{L}_1^{\perp}$. The eigenspace to the eigenvalue ζ_3 is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mu^1(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i) \\ \mu^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu^m(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i) \\ \mu^m \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \mu^1, \ldots, \mu^m \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

v_2 has to be the real part of an eigenvector. Let $a^k, b^k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k = 1, \ldots, m$ be arbitrary and let $\mu^k := b^k + \sqrt{3}(\frac{2}{3}a^k - \frac{1}{3}b^k) \cdot i$. The real part of the corresponding eigenvector is $(a^1, b^1, \ldots, a^m, b^m)^t$ and so far v_2 can be chosen freely.

Our next step is to make the choice of v_2 more explicit. v_2 has to be in $\mathrm{L}_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$. L_2 is a one-dimensional lattice that is generated by $\kappa_I^{(2)}$. We choose the marking ϕ_2 such that $\kappa_I^{(2)}$ is mapped to a positive $w \in \mathrm{L}_1^{\perp}$ that is primitive with $w^2 = (\kappa_I^{(2)})^2$. Such a w exists by assumption. Let $v_2 = w$. v_3 has to be chosen such that $v_2 + iv_3$ is an eigenvector. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= (v_2^1, w_2^1, \ldots, v_2^m, w_2^m) \\ v_3 &= (v_3^1, w_3^1, \ldots, v_3^m, w_3^m) \end{aligned}$$

We want to have

$$\begin{aligned} v_2^k + iv_3^k &= \mu_k \cdot (\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cdot i) \\ w_2^k + iw_3^k &= \mu_k \end{aligned}$$

where μ^k is a complex number. This system has the unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} v_3^k &= \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_2^k - \tfrac{2}{3}\sqrt{3}w_2^k \\ w_3^k &= \tfrac{2}{3}\sqrt{3}v_2^k - \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}w_2^k \end{aligned}$$

and v_3 is thus fixed by the choice of v_2. Since $v_2 + iv_3$ is an eigenvector, we have $(v_2 + iv_3)^2 = 0$. Therefore, $v_2^2 = v_3^2$. Since $v_2^2 > 0$, we have $(v_2 + iv_3) \cdot (v_2 - iv_3) > 0$. We have assumed that $v_2 + iv_3$ is not included in the orthogonal complement of a $\delta \in \mathrm{L}_1^{\perp}$ with $\delta^2 = -2$ and $v_2 + iv_3$ therefore is an element of $\mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_1)$.

Let $v_1 \in \mathrm{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be a Kähler class of D_1 with $v_1^2 = v_2^2$. In order to prove that $v_1 - iv_3 \in \mathscr{K}\mathit{3}'(\mathrm{L}_2)$ we have to exclude that

$$v_1 \cdot \delta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 \cdot \delta = 0 \quad \text{for a} \quad \delta \in \mathrm{L}_2^\perp \quad \text{with} \quad \delta^2 = -2\,.$$

We have $v_3 \cdot \delta \neq 0$ for any $\delta \in \mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ with $\delta^2 = -2$. We therefore need $\delta \in \mathrm{L}_1$. If we choose v_1 sufficiently generic, for example with $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent coefficients, the orthogonal complement of v_1 in L_1 contains no integral classes. All in all, v_1 can be chosen such that the four conditions from page 24 are satisfied and we have a matching. □

Remark 7.8. We cannot generalize our method straightforwardly to the case $p_1 \geq 5$. In that case, the action of ρ_1 becomes a block matrix with the following $(p_1 - 1) \times (p_1 - 1)$-blocks along the diagonal [2]

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \ddots & 1 & \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvectors to the eigenvalue ζ_p consist of $\frac{22-r_1}{p_1-1}$ blocks of length $p_1 - 1$ that have the following form:

$$\mu^k \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_p \\ \zeta_p + \zeta_p^2 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_p + \zeta_p^2 + \ldots + \zeta_p^{p-2} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The real part of an eigenvector cannot be a multiple of an integral class if $p_1 \geq 5$. On the one hand, v_2 has to be such an real part. On the other hand, it has to be an element of the one-dimensional space $\mathrm{L}_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$ that is generated by an integral class. Therefore, there cannot exist a matching of the two admissible pairs. If we choose $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ such that $\dim \mathrm{L}_2 > 1$, it may nevertheless be possible to construct a matching.

We calculate the Betti numbers of the G_2-manifolds that we obtain from our theorem.

Theorem 7.9. *A G_2-manifold M with the properties of Theorem 7.7 satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} b^2(M) &= h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - r_1 - 1\,, \\ b^3(M) &= 2h^{1,2}(\overline{W}_1) + h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - r_1 + b^3(V) + \left(-K_V^3\right) + 24\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In general, we have $b^2(M) = n + d_1 + d_2$. Our construction of the matching yields $n = 0$ and for admissible pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ of Fano type we have $d = 0$. Therefore $b^2(M) = d_1 = h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - r_1 - 1$. Before we determine $b^3(M)$, we have to check the condition

$$b^2(W_1) - d_1 + b^2(W_2) - d_2 \leq 22\,,$$

which is equivalent to

$$h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - 1 - d_1 + b^2(V) = r_1 + b^2(V) = r_1 + 1 \leq 22\,.$$

The rank of L_1 is at most 20 and we thus can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} b^3(M) &= b^3(\overline{W}_1) + b^3(\overline{W}_2) + b^2(M) - 2n + 23 \\ &= 2h^{1,2}(\overline{W}_1) + b^3(V) + \left(-K_V^3\right) + 2 + h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - r_1 - 1 + 23 \\ &= 2h^{1,2}(\overline{W}_1) + h^{1,1}(\overline{W}_1) - r_1 + b^3(V) + \left(-K_V^3\right) + 24 \end{aligned}$$

□

We finally construct some explicit examples. The rank of $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ shall be as low as possible. This simplifies the task of choosing v_2 and v_3 with the properties of Theorem 7.7. The maximal rank of L_1 is 20. In that case, we have $\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{L}_1^\perp = m = a = 1$. The bilinear form and the action of ρ_1 on $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ are described in [1]. $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ is isomorphic to A_2, which has the Cartan matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of ρ_1 can be described by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the eigenspace to the eigenvalue ζ_3 is spanned by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the lattice A_2 is positive, there are no elements with $\delta^2 = -2$ and the fourth condition of Theorem 7.7 is satisfied for any choice of $v_2 = (v_2^1, v_2^2)^t$. The square of the length of v_2 is $(v_2^1)^2 + (v_2^1 - v_2^2)^2 + (v_2^2)^2$. The possible values of this quadratic form less than 25 are 2, 6, 8, 14, 18, and 24. Since v_2 shall be primitive, this restricts to 2, 6, and 14.

Our next step is to find a suitable Fano threefold V such that we can apply Theorem 7.7. We assume that $-K_V$ is very ample. V can then be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ where g is the genus of a curve that represents $D_2 \cdot D_2$ and D_2 is a generic hyperplane section. We have [12]

$$-K_V^3 = 2g - 2 = deg\, V = deg\, D_2 = (\kappa_I^{(2)})^2$$

for an integral Kähler class $\kappa_I^{(2)}$ of D_2. A Fano threefold with the property that $c_1(V)$ is primitive and generates $H^2(V,\mathbb{Z})$ is called *prime*. Prime Fano threefolds are classified in [8]. There exists a prime Fano threefold V with $-K_V^3 = 6$ and $b^3(V) = 40$ and another one with $-K_V^3 = 14$ and $b^3(V) = 10$. In both cases, $-K_V$ is very ample. A prime Fano threefold with $-K_V^3 = 2$ exists, but it is a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with ramification at a sextic and we cannot apply our formula for $(\kappa_I^{(2)})^2$. Nevertheless, we can construct admissible pairs $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ of Fano type from the first two V. We choose v_2 as a primitive vector in $\mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ with $v_2 \cdot v_2 = -K_V^3$. As in the proof of Theorem 7.7, there exists a $v_3 \in \mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ such that $v_2 + iv_3$ is an eigenvector. In this situation, all conditions of Theorem 7.7 are satisfied and we have constructed two examples of compact G_2-manifolds. In both cases, we have

$$b^2(M) = 63 - 20 - 1 = 42\,.$$

For the third Betti number we obtain

$$b^3(M) = 43 + b^3(V) + \left(-K_V^3\right) + 24 \in \{91, 113\}\,.$$

The G_2-manifolds from [11], [12], and [13] all have $b^2 \leq 28$. An example with $b^2 = 28$ can be found in [11], p.334. Since the second Betti number of our examples is 42, they are not diffeomorphic to one of the G_2-manifolds from the literature.

Remark 7.10. The reason why we have restricted ourselves to these rather special examples is that the set of all $\delta \in \mathrm{L}_1^\perp$ with $\delta^2 = -2$ is empty and v_2^2 can be easily determined. We expect that it is possible to construct more G_2-manifolds from admissible pairs of non-symplectic type, although their construction may involve additional arguments. If we calculate b^2 for different combinations of admissible pairs without taking care of the matching condition, we often find large values of b^2. We therefore conjecture that the space of all Betti numbers (b^2, b^3) of G_2-manifolds is larger as currently known.

References

[1] *M. Artebani, A. Sarti*, Non-symplectic automorphisms of order 3 on $K3$ surfaces, Math. Ann. **342 No.4** (2008), 903-921.

[2] *M. Artebani, A. Sarti, S. Taki*, $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order, Math. Z. **268** (2011), 507-533.

[3] *E. Bonan*, Sur les variétés riemanniennes à groupe d'holonomie G_2 ou Spin(7), C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **262** (1966), 127-129.

[4] *W. Chen, Y. Ruan*, A New Cohomology Theory for Orbifold, Commun.Math.Phys. **248** (2004), 1-31 .

[5] *I. Dolgachev*, Integral quadratic forms: Applications to algebraic geometry (after V. Nikulin), Sémin. Bourbaki, 35e année, 1982/83, Exp. 611, Astérisque **105-106** (1983), 251-278.

[6] *I. Dolgachev*, Mirror symmetry for lattice polarized $K3$ surfaces, J. Math. Sci. New York **81 No.3** (1996), 2599-2630.

[7] *M. Fernández, A. Gray*, Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2, Annali di matematica pura ed applicata **132** (1982), 19-45.

[8] *V. A. Iskovskikh*, Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties, J. Sov. Math. **13** (1980), 745-814.

[9] *D. Joyce*, Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 I, Journal of Differential Geometry **43** (1996), 291-328.

[10] *D. Joyce*, Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 II, Journal of Differential Geometry **43** (1996), 329-375.

[11] *D. Joyce*, Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy, Oxford University Press, New York (2000).

[12] *A. Kovalev*, Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy, J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125-160.

[13] *A. Kovalev, N.-H. Lee*, $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution and compact irreducible G_2-manifolds, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **151** (2011), 193-218.

[14] *V. V. Nikulin*, Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some geometric applications, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 111-177. English translation: Math. USSR, Izv. **14** (1980), 103-167.

[15] *V. V. Nikulin*, Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian $K3$ surfaces, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **2** (1980), 71 - 135.

[16] *V. V. Nikulin*, Quotient-groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms by subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebro-geometric applications, J. Soviet Math. **22** (1983), 1401-1476.

[17] *S.-S. Roan*, On the generalization of Kummer surfaces, J. Differential Geometry **30** (1989), 523-537.

[18] *S.-S. Roan*, Minimal resolutions of Gorenstein orbifolds in dimension three, Topology **35 No. 2** (1996), 489-508.

[19] *S. Taki*, Classification of non-symplectic automorphisms of order 3 on $K3$ surfaces, Math. Nachr. **284 No. 1** (2011), 124-135.

[20] *T. Yasuda*, Twisted jets, motivic measure and orbifold cohomology, Compos. Math **140 No. 2** (2004), 396-422.

Department Mathematik, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail address: `max.pumperla@math.uni-hamburg.de`

Technische Universität Dortmund, Fakultät für Mathematik / Lehrstuhl VII, Vogelpothsweg 87, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

E-mail address: `frank.reidegeld@math.tu-dortmund.de`